

The Consistency of $ZFC + CIFS$

Garvin Melles*

Abraham Fraenkel Center for Mathematical Logic
Bar Ilan University
Institute of Mathematics
Hebrew University of Jerusalem

February 1, 2008

INTRODUCTION

This paper is a technical continuation of “Natural Axiom Schemata Extending ZFC. Truth in the Universe?” In that paper we argue that $CIFS$ is a natural axiom schema for the universe of sets. In particular it is a natural closure condition on V and a natural generalization of $IFS(L)$. Here we shall prove the consistency of $ZFC + CIFS$ relative to the existence of a transitive model of ZFC using the compactness theorem together with a class forcing.

GENERAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE CLASS FORCING

Notation- $\exists! P\psi(P)$ will mean there is a unique separative partially ordered set P with maximal element such that $\psi(P)$.

Definition 1. Let $\psi(x, y)$ be a formula (in general with a hidden parameter.) A partial order $\mathbf{P}$ (a proper class) with order $\leq$ is said to be a $\psi(x, y)$ definable *Ord* iteration if

$$\mathbf{P} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{Ord} - \{0\}} P_\alpha$$

*Would like to thank Ehud Hrushovski for supporting him with funds from NSF Grant DMS 8959511

and

$$\leq = \bigcup_{\alpha \in Ord - \{0\}} \leq_\alpha$$

where each P_α is a set of α sequences and P_α and $\leq_\alpha$ are defined by induction on α as follows:

$$P_1 = \{(0, p) \mid p = 1 \vee V \models \exists! P(\psi(P, 0) \wedge p \in P)\}$$

If α is a limit then $p \in P_\alpha$ iff p is an α sequence and $\forall \beta < \alpha$ ($\beta \neq 0$) $p \restriction \beta \in P_\beta$. For $p, q \in P_\alpha$, $p \leq_\alpha q$ iff $\forall \beta < \alpha$ ($\beta \neq 0$) $p \restriction \beta \leq_\beta q \restriction \beta$.

$$P_{\alpha+1} = \left\{ p \smallfrown \dot{p} \mid p \in P_\alpha \wedge \dot{p} = 1 \vee \right. \\ \left. \dot{p} \text{ is a } P_\alpha \text{ name} \wedge p \Vdash \exists! P(\psi(P, \alpha) \wedge \dot{p} \in P) \right\}$$

$$\leq_{\alpha+1} = \left\{ (p \smallfrown \dot{p}, q \smallfrown \dot{q}) \mid p \leq_\alpha q \wedge \dot{q} = 1 \vee \right. \\ \left. p \Vdash \exists! P(\psi(P, \alpha) \wedge \dot{p} \in P \wedge \dot{q} \in P \wedge \dot{p} \leq \dot{q}) \right\}$$

Remark 1. The elements of $\mathbf{P}$ are really equivalence classes induced by the relation $x \sim y \leftrightarrow x \leq y \wedge y \leq x$.

Remark 2. If $p \in P_\alpha$ such that

$$p \Vdash \exists! P\psi(P, \alpha)$$

then we identify $p \smallfrown 1$ and $p \smallfrown \dot{p}$ where $p \Vdash \dot{p}$ is the maximal element of P .

Remark 3. If $p \in \mathbf{P}$ then we identify p and $p \smallfrown \bar{1}$ where $\bar{1}$ is any sequence of ones.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a $\psi(x, y)$ definable iteration. Then $\mathbf{P}$ and each P_α are separative.

PROOF The case α is a limit ordinal we leave to the reader. By the definition of $\psi(x, y)$ definable iteration P_1 is separative. Let $(p, \dot{p})$ and $(q, \dot{q}) \in P_{\alpha+1}$ such that $(p, \dot{p}) \not\leq (q, \dot{q})$. If $p \not\leq q$, then we are done by the induction hypothesis. So suppose $p \leq q$. Then

$$p \not\leq \dot{p} \leq \dot{q}$$

so there is an $r \leq p$ such that

$$r \Vdash \dot{p} \not\leq \dot{q}$$

so for some $\dot{r}$

$$r \Vdash \dot{r} \leq \dot{p} \wedge \dot{q} \perp \dot{r}$$

$$(r, \dot{r}) \leq_{\alpha+1} (p, \dot{p}) \wedge (r, \dot{r}) \perp (q, \dot{q}).$$

Definition 2. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a $\psi(x, y)$ definable iteration. Let $\alpha \in Ord$, and let G be a generic subset of P_α . Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a formula and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in V^{P_\alpha}$. For every $\alpha < \beta \in Ord$, we define in $V[G]$ by induction on β maps $\pi_{\alpha\beta}$, $\pi'_{\alpha\beta}$, $\pi''_{\alpha\beta}$ and partial orders $(P_{\alpha\beta}, \leq_{\alpha\beta})$ as follows: Let $\beta = \alpha + 1$. If $(p, \dot{q}) \in P_{\alpha+1}$, and $p \in G$, then $\pi_{\alpha\alpha+1}(p, \dot{q}) = 1$ if $\dot{q} = 1$ and

$$\pi_{\alpha\alpha+1}(p, \dot{q}) = i_G(\dot{q})$$

otherwise. If $p \notin G$, then $\pi_{\alpha\alpha+1}(p, \dot{q})$ is not defined. Let

$$P_{\alpha\alpha+1} = \{\pi_{\alpha\alpha+1}(p) \mid p \in P_{\alpha+1}\}$$

and let

$$\leq_{\alpha\alpha+1} = \{(\pi_{\alpha\alpha+1}(p), \pi_{\alpha\alpha+1}(q)) \mid (p, q) \in \leq_{\alpha+1}\}$$

If $U \subseteq P_{\alpha+1}$ is a regular cut, then

$$\pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}(U) = \overline{\{\pi_{\alpha\alpha+1}(p) \mid p \in U\}}$$

For $y \in V^{r.o.(P_{\alpha+1})}$ we define $\pi''_{\alpha\alpha+1}$ by induction on the rank of y . $\pi''_{\alpha\alpha+1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and

$$\pi''_{\alpha\alpha+1}(y) = \{(\pi''_{\alpha\alpha+1}(x), \pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}(b)) \mid (x, b) \in y\}$$

If $\beta > \alpha + 1$, $\beta = \gamma + 1$, then for $p \frown \dot{q} \in P_\beta$ such that $\dot{q} \neq 1$,

$$\pi_{\alpha\beta}(p \frown \dot{q}) = \pi_{\alpha\gamma}(p) \frown \pi''_{\alpha\gamma}(\dot{q})$$

Otherwise, $\pi_{\alpha\beta}(p \frown \dot{q}) = \pi_{\alpha\gamma}(p) \frown 1$. For $p, q \in P_{\alpha\beta}$,

$$p \leq_{\alpha\beta} q$$

iff $p \restriction \gamma - \alpha \leq q \restriction \gamma - \alpha$ and $p \restriction \gamma - \alpha \Vdash p(\gamma - \alpha) \leq q(\gamma - \alpha)$. If $U \subseteq P$ is a regular cut, then $\pi'_{\alpha\beta}(U) = \overline{\{\pi_{\alpha\beta}(p) \mid p \in U\}}$. For $y \in V^{r.o.(P_\beta)}$ we define $\pi''_{\alpha\beta}$ by induction on the rank of y . $\pi''_{\alpha\beta}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and

$$\pi''_{\alpha\beta}(y) = \{(\pi''_{\alpha\beta}(x), \pi'_{\alpha\beta}(b)) \mid (x, b) \in y\}$$

If β is a limit ordinal then if $p \in P_\beta$, $\pi_{\alpha\beta} =$ the $\beta - \alpha$ sequence such that for $\zeta < \beta - \alpha$,

$$\pi_{\alpha\beta}(p) \upharpoonright \zeta = \pi_{\alpha\zeta}(p \upharpoonright (\alpha + \zeta))$$

$\leq_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined in the natural way. If $U \subseteq P$ is a regular cut, then $\pi'_{\alpha\beta}(U) = \overline{\{\pi_{\alpha\beta}(p) \mid p \in U\}}$. For $y \in V^{r.o.(P_\beta)}$ we define $\pi''_{\alpha\beta}$ by induction on the rank of y . $\pi''_{\alpha\beta}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and

$$\pi''_{\alpha\beta}(y) = \{(\pi''_{\alpha\beta}(x), \pi'_{\alpha\beta}(b)) \mid (x, b) \in y\}$$

Let $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha Ord}, \leq_{\alpha Ord})$ be the proper class partial order such that

$$\mathbf{P}_{\alpha Ord} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta \in Ord} P_{\alpha\beta}$$

and

$$\leq_{\alpha Ord} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta \in Ord} \leq_{\alpha\beta}$$

Theorem 2. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a $\psi(x, y)$ definable Ord iteration. Let $\alpha \in Ord$, and let G be a generic subset of P_α . Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a formula and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in V^{P_\alpha}$. For every $\alpha < \beta \in Ord$,

1. $\pi'_{\alpha\beta} : r.o.(P_\beta)^V \longrightarrow r.o.(P_{\alpha\beta})^{V[G]}$ is a Σ complete Boolean homomorphism
2. $\pi''_{\alpha\beta} : V^{r.o.(P_\beta)} \longrightarrow V[G]^{r.o.(P_{\alpha\beta})}$ is onto
3. $\pi'_{\alpha\beta}(\|\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|) = \|\varphi(\pi''_{\alpha\beta}(a_1), \dots, \pi''_{\alpha\beta}(a_n))\|$

We now proceed to prove theorem 2 in the case $\beta = \alpha + 1$ with the following series of lemmas (3-9) and then prove by induction on β a further series of lemmas (10-14) needed to finish the proof of theorem 2 for a general β .

Lemma 3. Let $p \in P_{\alpha\alpha+1}$. There exists $(p_1, \dot{p}) \in P_{\alpha+1}$ such that

$$\pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}(U_{(p_1, \dot{p})}) = U_p$$

PROOF Let us denote $\pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}$ by π' . First note that if $(q_1, \dot{q}) \leq (p_1, \dot{p})$ then $\pi(q_1, \dot{q}) \leq \pi(p_1, \dot{p})$. Let $p \in G$ and $\dot{p}$ a P_α name such that $i_G(\dot{p}) = p$. $\pi(p_1, \dot{p}) = p$ and by the above

$$\pi U_{(p_1, \dot{p})} \subseteq U_p$$

If $q \leq p$ let $q_1 \leq p_1$ and $\dot{q}$ a P_α name such that $q_1 \in G$ and $q_1 \Vdash \dot{q} \leq \dot{p}$. Then $\pi(q_1, \dot{q}) = q$ so $\pi U_{(p_1, \dot{p})} = U_p$.

Lemma 4. Let $(p_1, \dot{p}) \in P_{\alpha+1}$ such that $p_1 \in G$. For some $p \in P_{\alpha\alpha+1}$,

$$\pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}(U_{(p_1, \dot{p})}) = U_p$$

PROOF Let us denote $\pi_{\alpha\alpha+1}$ by π and $\pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}$ by π' . Let $p = i_G(\dot{p})$. Since $q_1 \in G$ and $(q_1, \dot{q}) \leq (p_1, \dot{p}) \rightarrow \pi(q_1, \dot{q}) \leq \pi(p_1, \dot{p})$ we know

$$\pi'(U_{(p_1, \dot{p})}) \subseteq U_p$$

Let $q \in U_p$ i.e., $q \leq p$. Let $q_1 \leq p_1$ such that $q_1 \Vdash \dot{q} \leq \dot{p}$ and $q_1 \in G$. Then $\pi(q_1, \dot{q}) = q$ and $(q_1, \dot{q}) \leq (p_1, \dot{p})$. So $\pi'(U_{(p_1, \dot{p})}) = U_p$.

Lemma 5. Let $\pi_{\alpha\alpha+1}(p_1, \dot{p}) = p$ and $\pi_{\alpha\alpha+1}(q_1, \dot{q}) = q$. Then $U_{(p_1, \dot{p})} \cap U_{(q_1, \dot{q})} = \emptyset \rightarrow U_p \cap U_q = \emptyset$.

PROOF Left to the reader.

Lemma 6. Let $U \subseteq P$ be a regular cut. Then $\pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}(-U) = -(\pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}U)$.

PROOF Let us denote $\pi_{\alpha\alpha+1}$ by π and $\pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}$ by π' . Let $(q_1, \dot{q}) \in -U$. So for all $(p_1, \dot{p}) \in U$,

$$U_{\pi(p_1, \dot{p})} \cap U_{\pi(q_1, \dot{q})} = \emptyset$$

Therefore

$$\overline{\{\pi(p_1, \dot{p}) \mid (p_1, \dot{p}) \in U\}} \cap U_{\pi(q_1, \dot{q})} = \emptyset$$

i.e., $\pi(q, \dot{q}) \in -\pi'(U)$. Similarly, if

$$\overline{\{\pi(p_1, \dot{p}) \mid (p_1, \dot{p}) \in U\}} \cap U_q = \emptyset$$

then if $r_1 \in G$ such that $r_1 \Vdash \pi'U \cap U_q = \emptyset$, then for every $(p_1, \dot{p}) \in U$,

$$U_{(p_1, \dot{p})} \cap U_{(r_1, \dot{q})} = \emptyset$$

so $q = \pi(r, \dot{q})$ and $(r, \dot{q}) \in -U$. (Why? Suppose there is a $(s_1, \dot{s}) \leq (r_1, \dot{q})$ and $(s_1, \dot{s}) \leq (p_1, \dot{p})$ for some $(p_1, \dot{p}) \in U$.

$$s_1 \Vdash \pi'U \cap U_q = \emptyset \wedge s_1 \Vdash \dot{s} \in U_p \cap U_q$$

a contradiction.)

Lemma 7. Let I be an index set and let $\{U_i \mid i \in I\} \in V$ be a collection of regular open cuts in $P_{\alpha+1}$. Then

$$\pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}(\prod_{i \in I} U_i) = \prod_{i \in I} \pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1} U_i$$

PROOF Let us denote $\pi_{\alpha\alpha+1}$ by π and $\pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}$ by π' . Note that $\prod_{i \in I} U_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ for U_i regular cuts of a separative partially ordered set. So

$$\pi'(\prod_{i \in I} U_i) \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} \pi' U_i$$

is clear. Let $p \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \pi' U_i$ and let $p_i \frown \dot{p} \in U_i$ such that $\pi(p_i \frown \dot{p}) = p$. (Such a $p_i \frown \dot{p}$ exists. Let $p_i \in G$ such that $p_i \Vdash \dot{p} \in \pi' U_i$. Then $p_i \frown \dot{p} \in U_i$. For suppose not. Then there exists $q_i \frown \dot{q} \leq p_i \frown \dot{p}$ such that $U_{q_i \frown \dot{q}} \cap U_i = \emptyset$. Let H be a generic subset of P_α such that $q_i \in H$. There exists an $r \leq q$ such that $r = \pi(r_i \frown \dot{r})$ (Here we mean π as defined in $V[H]$) and $r_i \in H$ and $r_i \frown \dot{r} \in U_i$. Without loss of generality $r_i \leq q_i$ and $r_i \Vdash \dot{r} \leq \dot{q}$. This is a contradiction of $U_{q_i \frown \dot{q}} \cap U_i = \emptyset$.) For each $i \in I$ let $U'_i = \{r \in P_\alpha \mid r \frown \dot{p} \in U_i\}$. Each U'_i is a regular cut on P_α . Why? Suppose $r \in P_\alpha$ and the set of things in U'_i are dense below r . If $r \notin U'_i$ then $r \frown \dot{p} \notin U_i \rightarrow$ since U_i is a regular cut there exists $r' \leq r$ and $\dot{p}'$ such that $r' \frown \dot{p}' \leq r \frown \dot{p}$ and

$$U_{r' \frown \dot{p}'} \cap U_i = \emptyset$$

But U'_i is dense below r so there exists a $r'' \leq r'$ such that $r'' \frown \dot{p} \in U_i$ which implies $r'' \frown \dot{p}' \in U_i$ a contradiction. Let G' be the ultrafilter on $r.o.(P_\alpha)$ associated with G . For every $i \in I$, $U'_i \in G'$ which implies since G' is generic that there is an $s \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U'_i$ such that $s \in G$ which implies $s \frown \dot{p} \in U_i$ for every $i \in I$. Since $\pi(s \frown \dot{p}) = p$, we have proved $\pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}(\prod_{i \in I} U_i) = \prod_{i \in I} \pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1} U_i$.

Lemma 8. $\pi''_{\alpha\alpha+1} : V^{P_{\alpha+1}} \longrightarrow V^{P_{\alpha\alpha+1}}$ is onto.

PROOF Let us denote $\pi''_{\alpha\alpha+1}$ by π'' . By induction on the rank of $y \in V^{P_{\alpha\alpha+1}}$ we show that y has an inverse. $\pi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Let γ be large enough so that each element in the domain of y has an inverse in $V_\gamma^{P_{\alpha+1}}$. Let N be a name for y in V^{P_α} . Let $\tilde{y}$ be the name in $V^{P_{\alpha+1}}$ such that $z \in \tilde{y}$ iff for some $b \in V^{P_\alpha}$ such that b is a name for an element of $r.o.(P_{\alpha\alpha+1})$, and for some $x \in V_\gamma^{P_{\alpha+1}}$,

$$z = \left(x, \left\{ (p_1, \dot{p}) \mid p_1 \Vdash (\pi'' x, b) \in N \wedge p_1 \Vdash \dot{p} \in b \right\} \right)$$

Then $\pi''(\tilde{y}) = y$. (For each x and b ,

$$\left\{ (p_1, \dot{p}) \mid p_1 \Vdash (\pi'' x, b) \in N \wedge p_1 \Vdash \dot{p} \in b \right\}$$

is a regular cut. Why? Certainly

$$\left\{ (p_1, \dot{p}) \mid p_1 \Vdash (\pi''x, b) \in N \ \wedge \ p_1 \Vdash \dot{p} \in b \right\}$$

is downward closed. Suppose that

$$\left\{ (r_1, \dot{r}) \mid r_1 \Vdash (\pi''x, b) \in N \ \wedge \ r_1 \Vdash \dot{r} \in b \right\}$$

is dense below $(p_1, \dot{p})$. Then $p_1 \Vdash (\pi''x, b) \in N$ and $p_1 \Vdash b$ is dense below $\dot{p}$, so $p_1 \Vdash \dot{p} \in b$ since $i_G(b)$ is a regular cut.)

Lemma 9. If $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V^{P_{\alpha+1}}$ and $\varphi(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ is a formula, then

$$\pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}(\|\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|) = \|\varphi(\pi''_{\alpha\alpha+1}x_1, \dots, \pi''_{\alpha\alpha+1}x_n)\|$$

PROOF First for atomic formulas by induction on $\Gamma(\rho(x), \rho(y))$ and then by induction on the complexity of $\varphi(v_1, \dots, v_n)$. For simplicity we denote $\pi'_{\alpha\alpha+1}$ as π' and $\pi''_{\alpha\alpha+1}$ as π'' .

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'(\|x \in y\|) &= \pi' \left(\sum_{t \in \text{dom } y} \|t = x\| \bullet y(t) \right) = \sum_{t \in \text{dom } y} \pi'(\|t = x\|) \bullet \pi'(y(t)) = \\ &\sum_{\pi''t \in \text{dom } \pi''y} \|\pi''t = \pi''x\| \bullet \pi''y(\pi''t) = \|\pi''x \in \pi''y\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'(\|x = y\|) &= \pi' \left(\prod_{t \in \text{dom } x} -x(t) + \|t \in y\| \bullet \prod_{t \in \text{dom } y} -y(t) + \|t \in x\| \right) = \\ &\prod_{t \in \text{dom } x} \pi'(-x(t) + \|t \in y\|) \bullet \prod_{t \in \text{dom } y} \pi'(-y(t) + \|t \in x\|) = \\ &\prod_{\pi''t \in \text{dom } \pi''x} -\pi''x(\pi''t) + \|\pi''t \in \pi''y\| \bullet \prod_{\pi''t \in \text{dom } \pi''y} -\pi''y(\pi''t) + \|\pi''t \in \pi''x\| = \\ &\|\pi''x = \pi''y\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\pi'(\|\exists x_0 \varphi(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)\|) = \pi' \left(\sum_{x_0 \in V^{P_{\alpha+1}}} \|\varphi(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \right) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_0 \in V^{P_{\alpha+1}}} \varphi(\pi''x_0, \pi''x_1, \dots, \pi''x_n) &= \sum_{y \in V^{P_{\alpha+1}}} \|\varphi(y, \pi''x_1, \dots, \pi''x_n)\| = \\ &\|\exists x_0 \varphi(x_0, \pi''x_1, \dots, \pi''x_n)\| \end{aligned}$$

Note that lemma 8 is used in the next to the last step. Now we prove a series of lemmas by simultaneous induction on β needed to finish the proof of theorem 2.

Lemma 10. If $p, q \in P_\beta$, and $p \leq_\beta q$, then $\pi_\alpha p \leq_{\alpha\beta} \pi_\alpha q$.

PROOF If $\beta = \alpha + 1$, it follows from the definition of π_α . If $\beta > \alpha + 1$, it follows from lemma 12 for ordinals less than β .

Lemma 11. Let $r \in G$ such that $r \frown p_1$ and $r \frown p_2 \in P_{\alpha+\beta}$ such that $r \Vdash \pi_{\alpha\beta}(p_1) = \pi_{\alpha\beta}(p_2)$. Then there is a $s \in G$ such that $s \leq r$ and $s \frown p_1 = s \frown p_2$.

PROOF If $\beta = \alpha + 1$, then $r \Vdash p_1 \leq p_2$ and $r \Vdash p_2 \leq p_1$, so $r \frown p_1 = r \frown p_2$. If β is a limit, then for each $\gamma < \beta$ there is a $s_\gamma \in G$ such that $s_\gamma \frown p_1 \restriction \gamma = s_\gamma \frown p_2 \restriction \gamma$. Let G' be the generic ultrafilter on $r.o.(P_\alpha)$ associated with G . If we let $U_\gamma = \{s \in P_\alpha \mid s \frown p_1 \restriction \gamma = s \frown p_2 \restriction \gamma\}$ then by lemma 13 each U_γ is a regular cut such that $U_\gamma \in G'$. Let $s \in \bigcap_{\gamma \in \beta} U_\gamma \cap G$. So let $\beta = \gamma + 1$, $\gamma > \alpha$. $r \Vdash \pi_{\alpha\beta} p_1 = \pi_{\alpha\beta} p_2 \rightarrow r \Vdash \pi_{\alpha\gamma} p_1 = \pi_{\alpha\gamma} p_2 \rightarrow$ there is a $t \leq r$ such that $t \in G$ and $t \frown p_1 \restriction \gamma = t \frown p_2 \restriction \gamma$. We know

$$\pi_{\alpha\gamma}(t \frown p_1 \restriction \gamma) \Vdash \pi''_{\alpha\gamma}(p_1(\gamma)) \leq \pi''_{\alpha\gamma}(p_2(\gamma))$$

and vice versa, so by lemma 12 for ordinals less than β , there is a $s' \leq t$ such that $s' \in G$ and

$$s' \frown p_1 \restriction \gamma \Vdash p_2(\gamma) \leq p_1(\gamma) \wedge p_1(\gamma) \leq p_2(\gamma)$$

$s' \Vdash \pi_{\alpha\gamma}(p_1 \restriction \gamma) = \pi_{\alpha\gamma}(p_2 \restriction \gamma)$ so by the induction hypothesis there is an $s \leq s'$ such that $s \in G$ and $s \frown p_1 \restriction \gamma = s \frown p_2 \restriction \gamma$. Therefore, $s \frown p_1 = s \frown p_2$.

Lemma 12. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a $\psi(x, y)$ definable *Ord* iteration. Let $\alpha < \beta \in \text{Ord}$ and let G be a generic subset of P_α . Let $p \frown p_1 \in P_{\alpha+\beta}$ such that $p \in G$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V^{P_{\alpha+\beta}}$. Then

$$p \frown p_1 \Vdash \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow \pi_\alpha(p \frown p_1) \Vdash \varphi(\pi''_\alpha x_1, \dots, \pi''_\alpha x_n)$$

and if $\pi_{\alpha\beta}(p \frown p_1) \Vdash \varphi(\pi''_{\alpha\beta} x_1, \dots, \pi''_{\alpha\beta} x_n)$ then there exists $s \leq p$ such that $s \in G$ and

$$s \frown p_1 \Vdash \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

PROOF By lemma 10, $p \leq q \rightarrow \pi_{\alpha\beta}p \leq \pi_{\alpha\beta}q$ so $U_p \subseteq \|\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \rightarrow \pi'_{\alpha\beta}(U_p) = U_{\pi_{\alpha\beta}(p)} \subseteq \|\varphi(\pi''_{\alpha\beta}x_1, \dots, \pi''_{\alpha\beta}x_n)\|$. Now suppose $\pi_{\alpha\beta}(p \frown p_1) \in \pi'_{\alpha\beta}\|\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|$. Let $q \in G$ such that $q \leq p$ and

$$q \Vdash \pi_{\alpha\beta}(p \frown p_1) \in \pi'\|\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|$$

As in Lemma 7, $q \frown p_1 \in \|\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|$.

Lemma 13. Let $\beta > \alpha$ and let $p \frown p_1, p \frown p_2 \in P_\beta$. Then

$$U_{p_1, p_2} = \{s \in P_\alpha \mid s \frown p_1 = s \frown p_2\}$$

is a regular cut.

PROOF By induction on β . The limit case is easy. So let $\beta = \alpha + 1$. Let $s \in P_\alpha$ such that for every $s' \leq s$ there is a $t \leq s'$ such that $t \frown p_1 = t \frown p_2$. Then $t \Vdash p_1 = p_2$. Since the set of t below s which force $p_1 = p_2$ is dense below s , $s \Vdash p_1 = p_2$. So $s \frown p_1 = s \frown p_2$ i.e., $s \in U_{p_1, p_2}$. So let $\beta = \gamma + 1$ with $\gamma > \alpha$. Let $\{t \in P_\alpha \mid t \frown p_1 = t \frown p_2\}$ be dense below s . By the induction hypothesis, $s \frown p_1 \restriction \gamma = s \frown p_2 \restriction \gamma$. If $s' \frown p' \leq s \frown p_1$, let $t \leq s'$ such that $t \frown p_1 \restriction \gamma \Vdash p_1(\gamma) = p_2(\gamma)$. Then $t \frown p' \restriction \gamma \Vdash p_1(\gamma) = p_2(\gamma)$ i.e., the set of things below $s \frown p_1 \restriction \gamma$ forcing $p_1(\gamma) = p_2(\gamma)$ is dense below $s \frown p_1(\gamma)$, so $s \frown p_1 \restriction \gamma \Vdash p_1(\gamma) = p_2(\gamma)$. So $s \frown p_1 = s \frown p_2$.

Lemma 14. Let $p \frown p_1, p \frown p_2 \in P_\beta$ such that $p \in P_\alpha$. Let $\dot{G}$ be the canonical name for a generic subset of P_α and let $r \leq p$. Suppose

$$r \Vdash p \in \dot{G} \wedge \pi_\alpha(p \frown p_1) \leq \pi_\alpha(p \frown p_2)$$

Then $r \frown p_1 \leq r \frown p_2$.

PROOF By induction on β where $p \frown p_1, p \frown p_2 \in P_\beta$. If $\beta = \alpha + 1$ then it follows from the definition of $\leq$ on $P_{\alpha+1}$. The limit case is also easy. So let $\beta = \gamma + 1$, $\gamma > \alpha$. By induction we can assume $r \frown p_1 \restriction \gamma \leq r \frown p_2 \restriction \gamma$. We must show

$$r \frown p_1 \restriction \gamma \Vdash p_1(\gamma) \leq p_2(\gamma)$$

We have by assumption

$$r \Vdash \left(\pi_{\alpha\gamma}(p_1 \restriction \gamma) \Vdash \pi''_{\alpha\gamma}(p_1(\gamma)) \leq \pi''_{\alpha\gamma}(p_2(\gamma)) \right)$$

Let $r' \leq r$. Let H be a generic subset of P_α such that $r' \in H$. By lemma 12 there is an $s \in H$ such that $s \leq r'$ and

$$s \frown p_1 \restriction \gamma \Vdash p_1(\gamma) \leq p_2(\gamma)$$

We have just shown that the set of things in P_γ forcing $p_1(\gamma) \leq q_1(\gamma)$ is dense below $r \smallfrown p_1 \restriction \gamma$. So $r \smallfrown p_1 \restriction \gamma \Vdash p_1(\gamma) \leq q_1(\gamma)$.

PROOF (theorem 2) The proof of theorem 2 now follows with slight modifications over the case $\beta = \alpha + 1$, using lemmas 11 and 13. The details are left to the reader.

Corollary 15. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a $\psi(x, y)$ definable *Ord* iteration. Let $\alpha \in \text{Ord}$, and let G be a generic subset of P_α . Then $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha \text{Ord}}$ is a $\psi(x, y + \alpha)$ definable iteration in $V[G]$.

PROOF Note that a $\psi(x, y + \alpha)$ definable *Ord* iteration is unique up to the choice of parameter in $\psi(x, y)$, so without loss of generality we can speak of the $\psi(x, y + \alpha)$ definable *Ord* iteration $\mathbf{P}$. By induction on $\beta > \alpha$, we prove that every $\beta - \alpha$ sequence in $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha \text{Ord}}$ is in $\mathbf{P}$ and every $\beta - \alpha$ sequence in $\mathbf{P}$ is in $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha \text{Ord}}$. The details are left to the reader, but one uses theorem 2 together with lemma 12.

Theorem 16. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a $\psi(x, y)$ definable *Ord* iteration. Let $\alpha < \text{Ord}$ and let $\pi = \pi_\alpha$. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a V generic subclass of $\mathbf{P}$. Let $G = \mathbf{G} \cap P_\alpha$ and $\mathbf{H} = \pi[\mathbf{G}]$. Then

1. G is a V generic subset of P_α
2. $\mathbf{H}$ is a $V[G]$ generic subclass of $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha \text{Ord}}$
3. $V[\mathbf{G}] = V[G][\mathbf{H}]$

PROOF The proof of 1 and the proof that $\mathbf{H}$ is a filter is left to the reader. To finish 2, let $\theta(x, a)$ be a formula with $a \in V[G]$ such that $\theta(x, a)$ defines a dense subclass of $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha \text{Ord}}$. Let $\dot{a}$ be a name for a . Let $p \in G$ such that $p \Vdash \theta(x, \dot{a})$ defines a dense subclass D' of $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha \text{Ord}}$. Let $p \smallfrown p_1 \in \mathbf{G}$. Let $q \smallfrown q_1 \leq p \smallfrown p_1$. Let $r \leq q$ such that for some $r \smallfrown r_1 \in \mathbf{P}$,

$$r \Vdash \pi r_1 \leq \pi q \wedge \varphi(\pi r_1, \dot{a})$$

By lemma 14, $r \smallfrown r_1 \leq q \smallfrown q_1$. So the set of $r \smallfrown r_1$ such that $r \Vdash \varphi(\pi r_1, \dot{a})$ is dense below $p \smallfrown p_1$. So for some $r \smallfrown r_1 \in \mathbf{G}$, $r \Vdash \varphi(\pi r_1, \dot{a})$, i.e., $\pi r_1 \in \pi[\mathbf{G}] \cap D' = \mathbf{H} \cap D'$. So $\mathbf{H}$ is a $V[G]$ generic subclass of $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha \text{Ord}}$. To prove 3, we show by induction on the rank of $x \in V^{\mathbf{P}}$ that $i_{\mathbf{G}}(x) = i_{\mathbf{H}}(\pi x)$. By definition, $\pi \emptyset = \emptyset$, so $i_{\mathbf{G}}(\emptyset) = i_{\mathbf{H}}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Let y have rank $\gamma + 1$ and suppose the theorem is true for all names with rank $\leq \gamma$. Then $i_{\mathbf{G}}(y) = \{i_{\mathbf{G}}(x) \mid y(x) \cap \mathbf{G} \neq \emptyset\} = \{i_{\mathbf{H}}(\pi x) \mid \pi y(x) \cap \pi[\mathbf{G}] \neq \emptyset\} = i_{\mathbf{H}}(\pi y)$.

(Why? Let $S \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ be a regular cut of $\mathbf{P}$. $\mathbf{G} \cap S \neq \emptyset \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \cap \pi[S] \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand if $\mathbf{H} \cap \pi[S] \neq \emptyset$, let $p \smallfrown p_1 \in \mathbf{G}$ and $s \smallfrown s_1 \in S$ such that $\pi(p \smallfrown p_1) = \pi(s \smallfrown s_1)$. Let $r \in G$ such that $r \leq s \wedge r \leq p \wedge r \Vdash \pi(p \smallfrown p_1) = \pi(s \smallfrown s_1)$ which implies by lemma 11 that there exists a $s' \in G$ such that $s' \smallfrown s_1 = s' \smallfrown p_1$. Since S is a cut $s' \smallfrown s_1 \in S$. Since $s' \in G$ there exists p'_1 such that $s' \smallfrown p'_1 \in \mathbf{G}$. $s' \smallfrown p'_1 \in \mathbf{G}$ and $p \smallfrown p_1 \in \mathbf{G}$ implies there is a $t \smallfrown t' \in \mathbf{G}$ such that $t \smallfrown t'_1 \leq s' \smallfrown p'_1$ and $t \smallfrown t'_1 \leq p \smallfrown p_1$. $t \smallfrown t'_1 \leq s' \smallfrown p_1 \rightarrow s' \smallfrown p_1 \in \mathbf{G}$.)

Lemma 17. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a $\psi(x, y)$ definable *Ord* iteration. Suppose P_1 is $\aleph_\alpha$ closed and that for every $\beta \in \text{Ord}$ and $p \in P_\beta$, if $p \Vdash \exists! P(\psi(P, \alpha))$ then $p \Vdash P$ is $\aleph_\alpha$ closed. Then $\mathbf{P}$ is $\aleph_\alpha$ closed.

PROOF Left to the reader.

Lemma 18. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a class forcing such that $\mathbf{P}$ is $\aleph_\alpha$ closed. Let $\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ be a generic subclass of $\mathbf{P}$. Then if f is a function from $\aleph_\alpha$ into V and $f \in V[\mathbf{G}]$, then $f \in V$.

PROOF Standard.

A CLASS FORCING DEMONSTRATING THE CONSISTENCY OF *ZFC* + *CIFS*

In this section given an arbitrary finite list $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$ of formulas we define in L a class partial ordering $\mathbf{P}$ definable in L such that $\mathbf{P}$ is a $\psi(x, y)$ definable iteration for some formula $\psi(x, y)$ (an unwieldy combination of the $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$) and

$$L^{\mathbf{P}} \models \text{ZFC} + \text{CIFS} \upharpoonright \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$$

Definition 3. Let $\aleph_\alpha$ be a regular cardinal and X a set.

$$\text{Col}(X, \aleph_\alpha)$$

is the partial order consisting of all injections of cardinality less than $\aleph_\alpha$ from X into $\aleph_\alpha$.

Definition 4. We define the class partial ordering $\mathbf{P} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{Ord} - \{0\}} P_\alpha$ and $\leq = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{Ord} - \{0\}} \leq_\alpha$ where P_α consists of α sequences and P_α and $\leq_\alpha$ are defined by induction on α as follows:

$$P_1 = \left\{ (0, (p_1, \dots, p_n)) \mid p_i = 1 \vee \exists! X(\psi_i(X) \wedge p_i \in \text{Col}(X, \aleph_\alpha)) \right\}$$

$$\leq_1 = \left\{ ((0, (p_1, \dots, p_n)), (0, (q_1, \dots, q_n))) \mid q_i = 1 \vee \right.$$

$$\left. \exists! X (\psi_i(X) \wedge p_i \in Col(X, \aleph_\alpha) \wedge q_i \in Col(X, \aleph_\alpha) \wedge p_i \leq q_i) \right\}$$

If α is a limit ordinal then $P_\alpha = \{p \mid p \text{ is an } \alpha \text{ sequence and } \forall \beta \neq 0, p \restriction \beta \in P_\beta\}$ and $\leq_\alpha = \{(p, q) \mid p, q \in P_\alpha \wedge p \restriction \beta \leq_\beta q \restriction \beta \ \forall \beta \in \alpha - \{0\}\}$. If α is a limit ordinal, then

$$P_{\alpha+1} = \left\{ p \frown (\dot{p}_1, \dots, \dot{p}_n) \mid p \in P_\alpha \wedge p_i = 1 \ \vee \ \dot{p}_i \text{ is a } P_\alpha \text{ name} \wedge \right.$$

$$\left. p \Vdash \aleph_\alpha \text{ is regular and } X \text{ is definable by } \psi_i \text{ in } L(V_{\omega+\alpha}) \wedge p_i \in Col(X, \aleph_\alpha) \right\}$$

$$\leq_{\alpha+1} = \left\{ (p \frown (\dot{p}_1, \dots, \dot{p}_n), q \frown (\dot{q}_1, \dots, \dot{q}_n)) \mid p \leq_\alpha q \wedge q_i = 1 \vee p \Vdash p_i \leq q_i \right\}$$

If α is a successor, then

$$P_{\alpha+1} = \left\{ p \frown (\dot{p}_0, \dot{p}_1, \dots, \dot{p}_n) \mid p \in P_\alpha \wedge \right.$$

$$\left. p \Vdash \dot{p}_0 \text{ is a bijection from an ordinal less than } \aleph_\alpha \text{ into } V_{\omega+\alpha} \right.$$

$$\left. \wedge \ 1 \leq i \leq n \rightarrow \dot{p}_i = 1 \ \vee \right.$$

$$\left. p \Vdash \exists! X (L(V_{\omega+\alpha}) \models \psi_i(X) \wedge \dot{p}_i \in Col(X, \aleph_\alpha)) \right\}$$

$\leq_{\alpha+1}$ is defined as in the case α is a limit.

Lemma 19. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be as above. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a L generic subclass of $\mathbf{P}$ and if $\alpha \in Ord$, let $G_\alpha = \mathbf{G} \cap P_\alpha$. Then

1. $L[\mathbf{G}] \models ZFC$
2. $V_{\omega+\alpha}^{L[G_\alpha]} = V_{\omega+\alpha}^{L[\mathbf{G}]}$
3. $L[G_{\alpha+1}] \models |V_{\omega+\alpha}| = \aleph_\alpha$
4. $\aleph_\alpha^{L[G_\alpha]} = \aleph_\alpha^{L[\mathbf{G}]}$

PROOF The proof that $L[\mathbf{G}] \models ZFC$ uses corollary 15, theorem 16, and lemmas 17 and 18 and is similar to the proof that the class forcing extension used to prove Easton's theorem satisfies ZFC . The rest of the proof of lemma 19 is by induction on α . If $\alpha = \beta + 1$, then $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha \text{ Ord}}$ is $\aleph_\beta$ closed and $L[\mathbf{G}] = L[G_\alpha][\mathbf{H}]$ where $\mathbf{H}$ is a $L[G_\alpha]$ generic subclass of $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha \text{ Ord}}$, so by lemma 18, $V_{\omega+\alpha}^{L[G_\alpha]} = V_{\omega+\alpha}^{L[\mathbf{G}]}$ and $\aleph_\alpha^{L[G_\alpha]} = \aleph_\alpha^{L[\mathbf{G}]}$. $L[G_{\alpha+1}] \models |V_{\omega+\alpha}| = \aleph_\alpha$ by the definition of $\mathbf{P}$ and the fact that $V_{\omega+\alpha}^{L[G_\alpha]} = V_{\omega+\alpha}^{L[\mathbf{G}]}$. If α is a limit it follows from the induction hypothesis.

Lemma 20. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be as above. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a L generic subclass of $\mathbf{P}$ and if $\alpha \in \text{Ord}$, let $G_\alpha = \mathbf{G} \cap P_\alpha$. If $L[\mathbf{G}] \models \aleph_\alpha$ is regular $\wedge (L(V_{\omega+\alpha}) \models \exists! X(\psi_i(X)))$ then $L[\mathbf{G}] \models |X| \leq \aleph_\alpha$.

PROOF If $L[\mathbf{G}] \models (L(V_{\omega+\alpha}) \models \exists! X(\psi_i(X)))$, then since $V_{\omega+\alpha}^{L[G_\alpha]} = V_{\omega+\alpha}^{L[\mathbf{G}]}$ we also have, $L[G_\alpha] \models (L(V_{\omega+\alpha}) \models \exists! X(\psi_i(X)))$. Since $\aleph_\alpha^{L[G_\alpha]} = \aleph_\alpha^{L[\mathbf{G}]}$ and $L[\mathbf{G}] = L[G_\alpha][\mathbf{H}]$ where $\mathbf{H}$ is a $L[G_\alpha]$ generic subclass of $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha \text{ Ord}}$, $\mathbf{H} \restriction (\mathbf{P}_{\alpha \text{ Ord}})_1$ witnesses the existence of an bijection from X onto $\aleph_\alpha$.

REFERENCES

1. [Jech1] T. Jech, *Multiple Forcing*, Cambridge University Press.
2. [Jech2] T. Jech, *Set Theory*, Academic Press.